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## Disclaimer

- These slides are designed exclusively for students attending section 1 , 2 and 3 for the course 640:244 in Fall 2013. The author is not responsible for consequences of other usages.
- These slides may suffer from errors. Please use them with your own discretion since debugging is beyond the author's ability.
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- Standard form

$$
y^{\prime}(t)+p(t) y(t)=g(t)
$$

- Integrating factor

$$
\mu(t)=e^{\int p(t) d t}
$$

- General solution

$$
y(t)=\frac{\int \mu(t) g(t)}{\mu(t)}
$$

- Please find examples in older slides. Also make sure you learn how to check your answers.
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$$
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$$
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$$

- Principle of superposition: If functions $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ are solutions to this ODE, then for any number $A, B$,

$$
A y_{1}(t)+B y_{2}(t)
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## 2nd-order Linear Homogeneous ODE: General Theory

- In addition, if the Wronskian

$$
W\left(y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)\right)=y_{1}(t) y_{2}^{\prime}(t)-y_{2}(t) y_{1}^{\prime}(t)
$$

as a function of $t$, is not constantly 0 , then ALL THE SOLUTIONS of this ODE looks like

$$
A y_{1}(t)+B y_{2}(t)
$$

for some number $A, B$.

- In other words, $y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t)$ are linearly independent to each other and forms a fundamental set of solutions. The general solution of this
ODE would then be

$$
y(t)=C_{1} y_{1}(t)+C_{2} y_{2}(t)
$$
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- Then you get $v^{\prime}(t)$ and by integration you get $v(t)$ and thus $y_{2}(t)=v_{( }(t) y_{1}(t)$ and thus the general solution $y(t)=C_{1} y_{1}(t)+C_{2} y_{2}(t)$.


## The End

